

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION	Page
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS	xii
LIST OF TABLES	vii
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
2.0 STATEMENT OF PROBLEM/SCOPE OF WORK	2
3.0 OBJECTIVES	2
3.1 Geotechnical Databases	2
3.2 Site Specific Earthquake Hazards Assessments	3
4.0 MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION GEOTECHNICAL DATABASE	3
4.1 Design	3
4.1.1 Design Approach	4
4.1.2 A Geotechnical Generic Example	5
4.1.3 Analysis and Data Structure	6
4.2 Implementation	8
4.3 Link to Spatial Database (GIS)	8
5.0 SITE CHARACTERIZATION PROCEDURES	8
5.1 Field Investigations	8
5.1.1 Drilling and Sampling	9
5.1.2 Test Pits	9
5.1.3 Cone Penetrometer Testing	9
5.1.4 Surface Mapping	9
5.1.5 Interviews with Local Personnel	10
5.2 Laboratory Investigations	10
5.2.1 Missouri Department of Transportation Laboratory Soil Testing	10
5.2.2 University of Missouri-Rolla Laboratory Soil Testing	10
5.2.2.1 Consolidated Undrained (CU) Triaxial Tests	11
5.2.2.2 Cyclic Triaxial Tests	11
5.3 Base Rock Motion Determination	11
5.3.1 Current Peak Ground Acceleration	11
5.3.2 Magnitudes and Distances for the Recommended Acceleration Values	12
5.3.3 Time Histories	12

5.4	Seismic Response of Soil	14
5.4.1	Wave Propagation Analysis	14
5.4.2	Liquefaction Analysis	15
5.5	Slope Stability of Abutment Fills	25
5.5.1	Soil Property Estimation	25
5.5.2	Groundwater Elevation Selection	27
5.5.3	Design Horizontal and Vertical Earthquake Accelerations	28
5.5.4	Flood Hazard Analysis	29
<b>6.0</b>	<b>PROCEDURES FOR SEISMIC CONDITION ASSESSMENT OF BRIDGES AND ABUTMENTS</b>	<b>30</b>
6.1	Global Performance Goals	30
6.1.1	American Association of State Highway and Transportation	
6.1.2	Bridges Along US 60	30
6.2	Engineering Performance Criteria	31
6.2.1	Performance Assessment	31
6.2.2	Seismic Demand	32
6.2.3	Seismic Capacity	33
6.2.4	Acceptable Damage	33
6.3	Analysis Procedures	33
6.3.1	Computer Modeling of Bridges	33
6.3.1.1	Design Ground Motions	33
6.3.1.2	Analysis Procedure	34
6.3.2	Computer Modeling of Abutments	34
<b>7.0</b>	<b>REGIONAL GEOLOGY AND GEOTECHNICAL DATA</b>	<b>38</b>
7.1	Regional Geology	38
7.2	Summary of Field and Laboratory Data	40
<b>8.0</b>	<b>RESULTS OF SITE SPECIFIC STUDIES</b>	<b>41</b>
8.1	St. Francis River Bridge Site	41
8.1.1	Site Geology	41
8.1.2	Selected Base Rock Motion	41
8.1.3	Seismic Response of Soil	41
8.1.3.1	Horizontal Seismic Response of Soil	42
8.1.3.2	Resulting Ground Motion Time Histories	43
8.1.3.3	Vertical Seismic Response of Soil	50
8.1.4	Liquefaction Potential Analysis	50
8.1.4.1	Cyclic Stress Ratio (CSR), Cyclic Resistant Ratio (CRR) and Factor of Safety (FOS)	65
8.1.5	Slope Stability of Abutment Fills	65
8.1.6	Flood Hazard Analysis Results	66
8.1.7	Structure Response of Bridges and Abutments	72
8.1.7.1	New St. Francis River Bridge	72
8.1.7.1.1	Bridge Description	72
8.1.7.1.2	Bridge Model and Analysis	72

8.1.7.1.3	Detailed Description of Bridge Evaluation	76
8.1.7.1.3.1	Load Combination Rule	77
8.1.7.1.3.2	Minimum Support Length and C/D Ratio for Bearing	77
8.1.7.1.3.3	C/D Ratios for Shear Force at Bearings	77
8.1.7.1.3.4	C/D Ratios for Columns/Piers	78
8.1.7.1.3.5	C/D Ratios for Hooked Anchorage in Columns	84
8.1.7.1.3.6	C/D Ratios for Splices in Longitudinal Reinforcement	84
8.1.7.1.3.7	C/D Ratio for Transverse Confinement	85
8.1.7.1.3.8	C/D Ratio for Column Shear	85
8.1.7.1.3.9	C/D Ratio for Diaphragm and Cross- Frame Members	87
8.1.7.1.3.10	Ratio for Abutment Displacements	88
8.1.7.1.4	Summary of Problem Areas	89
8.1.7.1.5	Time History Analysis vs. Response Spectrum Analysis	90
8.1.7.1.6	Comparison of AASHTO Response Spectrum vs. Site-Specific Response Spectrum	96
8.1.7.2	Old St. Francis River Bridge	97
8.1.7.2.1	Bridge Description	97
8.1.7.2.2	Bridge Model and Analysis	98
8.1.7.2.3	Bridge Evaluation	99
8.1.7.2.3.1	Load Combination Rule	102
8.1.7.2.3.2	Minimum Support Length and C/D Ratio for Bearing	102
8.1.7.2.3.3	C/D Ratios for Shear Force at Bearing	103
8.1.7.2.3.4	C/D Ratios for Columns/Piers	103
8.1.7.2.3.5	C/D Ratios for Reinforcement Anchorage in Columns	104
8.1.7.2.3.6	C/D Ratios for Splices in Longitudinal Reinforcement	104
8.1.7.2.3.7	C/D Ratio for Transverse Confinement	104
8.1.7.2.3.8	C/D Ratio for Column Shear	105
8.1.7.2.3.9	C/D Ratio for Diaphragm and Cross- Frame Members	105
8.1.7.2.3.10	C/D Ratio for Abutment Displacements	105
8.1.7.2.4	Summary of Problem Areas	106
8.1.7.2.5	Time History Analysis vs. Response Spectrum Analysis	107
8.1.7.2.6	Structural Response of Abutments	109
8.1.7.2.6.1	Calculated Time Dependent Displacements of Abutment	109
8.2	Wahite Ditch Bridge Site	113

<b>8.2.1</b>	<b>Site Geology</b>	<b>113</b>
<b>8.2.2</b>	<b>Selected Base Rock Motion</b>	<b>114</b>
<b>8.2.3</b>	<b>Seismic Response of Soil</b>	<b>114</b>
<b>8.2.3.1</b>	<b>Horizontal Seismic Response of Soil</b>	<b>117</b>
<b>8.2.3.2</b>	<b>Resulting Ground Motion Time Histories</b>	<b>123</b>
<b>8.2.3.3</b>	<b>Vertical Seismic Response of Soil</b>	<b>124</b>
<b>8.2.4</b>	<b>Liquefaction Potential Analysis</b>	<b>140</b>
<b>8.2.4.1</b>	<b>Cyclic Stress Ratio (CSR), Cyclic Resistant Ratio (CRR) and Factor of Safety</b>	<b>140</b>
<b>8.2.5</b>	<b>Slope Stability of Abutment Fills</b>	<b>140</b>
<b>8.2.6</b>	<b>Flood Hazard Analysis Results</b>	<b>142</b>
<b>8.2.7</b>	<b>Structure Response of Wahite Ditch Bridges and Abutments</b>	<b>142</b>
<b>8.2.7.1</b>	<b>New Wahite Ditch Bridge</b>	<b>142</b>
<b>8.2.7.1.1</b>	<b>Bridge Description</b>	<b>142</b>
<b>8.2.7.1.2</b>	<b>Bridge Model and Analysis</b>	<b>144</b>
<b>8.2.7.1.3</b>	<b>Description of Bridge Evaluation</b>	<b>148</b>
<b>8.2.7.1.3.1</b>	<b>Load Combination Rule</b>	<b>148</b>
<b>8.2.7.1.3.2</b>	<b>Minimum Support Length and C/D Ratio for Bearing</b>	<b>148</b>
<b>8.2.7.1.3.3</b>	<b>C/D Ratios for Shear Force at Bearings</b>	<b>148</b>
<b>8.2.7.1.3.4</b>	<b>C/D Ratios for Columns/Piers</b>	<b>149</b>
<b>8.2.7.1.3.5</b>	<b>C/D Ratios for Reinforcement Anchorage in Columns</b>	<b>150</b>
<b>8.2.7.1.3.6</b>	<b>C/D Ratios for Splices in Longitudinal Reinforcement</b>	<b>150</b>
<b>8.2.7.1.3.7</b>	<b>C/D Ratio for Transverse Confinement</b>	<b>150</b>
<b>8.2.7.1.3.8</b>	<b>C/D Ratio for Column Shear</b>	<b>150</b>
<b>8.2.7.1.3.9</b>	<b>C/D Ratio for Diaphragm Members</b>	<b>150</b>
<b>8.2.7.1.3.10</b>	<b>C/D Ratio for Abutment Displacements</b>	<b>150</b>
<b>8.2.7.1.4</b>	<b>Summary of Problem Areas</b>	<b>150</b>
<b>8.2.7.1.5</b>	<b>Comparison of AASHTO Response Spectrum vs. Site-Specific Response Spectrum</b>	<b>151</b>
<b>8.2.7.2</b>	<b>Old Wahite Ditch Bridge</b>	<b>153</b>
<b>8.2.7.2.1</b>	<b>Bridge Description</b>	<b>153</b>
<b>8.2.7.2.2</b>	<b>Bridge Model and Analysis</b>	<b>154</b>
<b>8.2.7.2.3</b>	<b>Bridge Evaluation</b>	<b>158</b>
<b>8.2.7.2.3.1</b>	<b>Load Combination Rule</b>	<b>158</b>
<b>8.2.7.2.3.2</b>	<b>Minimum Support Length and C/D Ratio for Bearing</b>	<b>158</b>
<b>8.2.7.2.3.3</b>	<b>C/D Ratios for Shear Force at Bearings</b>	<b>158</b>
<b>8.2.7.2.3.4</b>	<b>C/D Ratios for Columns/Piers</b>	<b>158</b>
<b>8.2.7.2.3.5</b>	<b>C/D Ratios for Reinforcement Anchorage in Columns</b>	<b>159</b>
<b>8.2.7.2.3.6</b>	<b>C/D Ratios for Splices in Longitudinal Reinforcement</b>	<b>159</b>
<b>8.2.7.2.3.7</b>	<b>C/D Ratio for Transverse Confinement</b>	<b>159</b>

	8.2.7.2.3.8 C/D Ratio for Column Shear	160
	8.2.7.2.3.9 C/D Ratio for Diaphragm Members	160
	8.2.7.2.3.10 C/D Ratio for Abutment Displacements	160
	8.2.7.2.4 Summary of Problem Areas	160
	8.2.7.2.5 Time History Analysis vs. Response Spectrum Analysis	161
	8.2.7.2.6 Structural Response of Abutments	165
	8.2.7.2.6.1 Calculated Time Dependent Displacement of Abutment	165
<b>9.0</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS</b>	169
9.1	Summary	169
9.2	Geotechnical GIS Databases	169
9.3	Site Specific Earthquake Hazards Assessments	169
9.3.1	Francis River Bridge Site	170
9.3.1.1	Liquefaction	170
9.3.1.2	Slope Stability	170
9.3.1.3	Flood Hazard	170
9.3.1.4	Structural Response of St. Francis River Bridges	170
9.3.1.4.1	New St. Francis River Bridge	170
9.3.1.4.2	Old St. Francis River Bridge	171
9.3.1.4.3	Old St. Francis River Bridge Abutment	171
9.3.2	Wahite Ditch Bridge Site	171
9.3.2.1	Liquefaction	171
9.3.2.2	Slope Stability	171
9.3.2.3	Flood Hazard	171
9.3.2.4	Structural Stability of Wahite Ditch Bridges	172
9.3.2.4.1	New Wahite Ditch Bridge	172
9.3.2.4.2	Old Wahite Ditch Bridge	172
9.3.2.4.3	Old St. Francis River Bridge Abutment	172
<b>10.0</b>	<b>RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	172
10.1	Protocol	172
10.1.1	Determination of Site-Specific Strong Rock Motion	173
10.1.2	Determination of Liquefaction Potential	173
10.1.3	Determination of Slope Stability	174
10.1.4	Determination of Potential for Flooding in Response to Strong Ground Motion	174
10.1.5	Evaluation of Flooding Potential	175
10.1.6	Determination of Structural Stability	175
10.1.6.1	Evaluation of Abutment Stability	175
10.1.6.2	Evaluation of Stability of Integrated Bridge Abutments	175
10.1.6.3	Evaluation of Stability of Structural Members	175
10.2	Further Work	176
10.2.1	Proposed Study: Retrofit of Critical Structures along Designated Emergency Access Routes	176

10.2.2	Proposed Study: Site Specific Earthquake Assessments along MO 100	176
10.2.3	Proposed Study: Regional Liquefaction Hazard Analysis	177
10.2.4	Proposed Study: Geo-Referencing of Boring Locations	178
10.2.5	Proposed Study: Regional Prioritization for Future Earthquake Hazards Assessments	178
10.2.6	Proposed Study: Laboratory Testing of Truss-Type Diaphragms or Cross Frames and Effective Retrofitting Techniques	178
10.2.7	Proposed Study: Integration of LOGMAIN Surficial Materials Information	178
10.2.8	Proposed Study: Long Term Strategic Plan	178
11.0	BIBLIOGRAPHY	179
12.0	LIST OF SYMBOLS	183

## LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure	Page
2.1 Study Site Locations	2
4.1 System Design: Top-Down vs. Bottom-Up	4
4.2 Organization of Missouri Department of Transportation Subsurface Data	5
4.3 Example of an Object Oriented Geotechnical Database Model (Luna and Frost, 1995)	7
5.1 Seismicity in The 1974 - 1995 Time Period in The Vicinity of The St. Francis River Bridge Site (SF) and the Wahite Ditch Site (WD).(Herrmann, (2000))	13
5.2 The Selected Base Rock Motion for the St. Francis River Bridge Site	
5.2a PE 10% in 50 Years, Magnitude 6.2	16
5.2b PE 10% in 50 Years, Magnitude 7.2	17
5.2c PE 2% in 50 Years, Magnitude 6.4	18
5.2d PE 2% in 50 Years, Magnitude 8.0	19
5.3 The Selected Base Rock Motion for The Wahite Ditch Bridge Site	
5.3a PE 10% in 50 Years, Magnitude 6.4	20
5.3b PE 10%in 50 Years, Magnitude 7.0	21
5.3c PE 2% in 50 Years, Magnitude 7.8	22
5.3d PE 2% in 50 Years, Magnitude 8.0	23
5.4 Simplified Base Curve Recommended for Calculation of CRR From SPT ( $N_1$ ) <sub>60</sub> Data Along With Empirical Liquefaction Data for M=7.5 (From Seed et. al., 1971, Modified by Youd and Idriss, 1997)	26
5.5 St. Francis River Bridge Site Topography, Cross-Sections and Boring Locations	27
5.6 Wahite Ditch Bridge Site Topography, Cross Sections and Boring Locations	28
6.1 The Typical Highway Bridge Abutment Supported on Piles	36
6.2 Translation and Rotation Movement of Bridge Abutment Forces Acting on the Bridge Abutment	36
6.3 Forces Acting on the Bridge Abutment	37
7.1 Extent of Mississippi Embayment	39
7.2 Cross-Section of Regional Geology	40
8.1 St. Francis River Bridge Site Topography, Cross-Section and Boring Locations	42
8.2 Cross-Section of St. Francis River Bridge Site Geology	43
8.3 Soil Profile St. Francis River Bridge Site Boring B-1	44
8.4 Acceleration Time Histories for St. Francis River Bridge Site	
8.4a PE 10% in 50 Years Magnitude = 6.2	46
8.4b PE 10% in 50 Years Magnitude = 7.2	47
8.4c PE 2% in 50 Years, Magnitude = 6.4	48
8.4d PE 2% in 50 Years, Magnitude = 8.0	49
8.5 Peak Ground Acceleration vs. Depth for PE 10% in 50 Years Magnitudes 6.2 and 7.2 St. Francis River Bridge Site	51
8.6 Peak Ground Acceleration vs. Depth for PE 2% in 50 Years Magnitudes 6.4 and 8.0 St. Francis River Bridge Site	52
8.7 Surface Ground Acceleration at the St. Francis River Bridge Site	

8.7a	PE 10% in 50 years, Magnitude = 6.2	53
8.7b	PE 10% in 50 years, Magnitude = 7.2	54
8.7c	PE 2% in 50 years, Magnitude = 6.4	55
8.7d	PE 2% in 50 years, Magnitude = 8.0	56
8.8	Ground Acceleration at the St. Francis River Bridge Abutment	
8.8a	PE 10% in 50 years, Magnitude = 6.2	57
8.8b	PE 10% in 50 years, Magnitude = 7.2	58
8.8c	PE 2% in 50 years, Magnitude = 6.4	59
8.8d	PE 2% in 50 years, Magnitude = 8.0	60
8.9	Ground Acceleration at the St. Francis River Bridge Pier	
8.9a	PE 10% in 50 years, Magnitude = 6.2	61
8.9b	PE 10% in 50 years, Magnitude = 7.2	62
8.9c	PE 2% in 50 years, Magnitude = 6.4	63
8.9d	PE 2% in 50 years, Magnitude = 8.0	64
8.10	Soil Profile, CSR, CRR and Factor of Safety Against Liquefaction at the St. Francis River Bridge Site for PE 10% in 50 years and M=6.2	67
8.11	Example Slope Stability Results for St. Francis River Bridge Site	68
8.12	Estimated Flooding Zone Due to Wappapello Dam Failure	71
8.13	Region of Potential Flooding	71
8.14	Bridge General Elevation (New St. Francis River Bridge)	73
8.15	Mode 1, Period 0.2519 Seconds (New St. Francis River Bridge)	74
8.16	Mode 2, Period 0.2295 Seconds (New St. Francis River Bridge)	74
8.17	Mode 3, Period 0.1421 Seconds (New St. Francis River Bridge)	75
8.18	Mode 4, Period 0.0901 Seconds (New St. Francis River Bridge)	75
8.19	Mode 5, Period 0.0896 Seconds (New St. Francis River Bridge)	76
8.20	Shear Force Calculations	79
8.21	Calculations of Axial Loads and Column Shears	83
8.22	Calculations for Hooked Anchorage in Columns	85
8.23	Calculations for Splices in Longitudinal Reinforcement	86
8.24	Calculations for Transverse Confinement	86
8.25	Calculations for Column Shear	88
8.26	Calculations for Diaphragm Cross-Frame Members	89
8.27	Calculations for Abutment Displacements	90
8.28	Comparison of AASHTO Response Spectrum & Site Specific Response Spectrum	96
8.29	Bridge General Elevation (Old St. Francis River Bridge)	98
8.30	Mode 1, Period 1.3173 Seconds (Old St. Francis River Bridge)	100
8.31	Mode 2, Period 0.4773 Seconds (Old St. Francis River Bridge)	100
8.32	Mode 3, Period 0.3673 Seconds (Old St. Francis River Bridge)	101
8.33	Mode 4, Period 0.2065 Seconds (Old St. Francis River Bridge)	101
8.34	Mode 5, Period 0.1501 Seconds (Old St. Francis River Bridge)	102
8.35	Old St. Francis River Bridge Plans	113
8.36	Time Histories of Sliding, Rocking and Total Permanent Displacement of the Old St. Francis River Bridge Abutment PE 10% in 50 Years, Magnitudes 6.2 and 7.2	115
8.37	Time Histories of Sliding, Rocking and Total Permanent Displacement of the Old St. Francis River Bridge Abutment PE 2% in 50 Years, Magnitudes 6.4 and 8.0	116
8.38	Cross-Section of Wahite Ditch Bridge Site Geology	117



<b>8.39</b>	Acceleration Time Histories for the Wahite Ditch Bridge Site	
<b>8.39a</b>	PE 2% in 50 Years Magnitude = 6.4	119
<b>8.39b.</b>	PE 10% in 50 Years Magnitude = 7.0	120
<b>8.39c</b>	PE 2% in 50 Years, Magnitude = 7.8	121
<b>8.39d</b>	PE 2% in 50 Years, Magnitude = 8.0	122
<b>8.40</b>	Wahite Ditch Bridge Site Topography, Cross-Section and Boring Locations	123
<b>8.41</b>	Soil Profile B-1 Wahite Ditch Bridge Site	125
<b>8.42</b>	Peak Ground Acceleration vs. Depth for PE 10% in 50 Years Magnitudes 6.4 and 7.0 Wahite Ditch Bridge Site	126
<b>8.43</b>	Peak Ground Acceleration vs. Depth for PE 2% in 50 Years Magnitudes 7.8 and 8.0 Wahite Ditch Bridge Site	127
<b>8.44</b>	Surface Ground Acceleration at the Wahite Ditch Bridge Site	
<b>8.44a</b>	PE 10% in 50 years Magnitude = 6.4	128
<b>8.44b</b>	PE 10% in 50 years Magnitude = 7.0	129
<b>8.44c</b>	PE 2% in 50 years Magnitude = 7.8	130
<b>8.4ed</b>	PE 2% in 50 years Magnitude = 8.0	131
<b>8.45</b>	Ground Acceleration at the Abutment Wahite Ditch Bridge	
<b>8.45a</b>	PE 10% in 50 years Magnitude = 6.4	132
<b>8.45b</b>	PE 10% in 50 years Magnitude = 7.0	133
<b>8.45c</b>	PE 2% in 50 years Magnitude = 7.8	134
<b>8.45d</b>	PE 2% in 50 years Magnitude = 8.0	135
<b>8.46</b>	Ground Acceleration at the Wahite Ditch Bridge Pier	
<b>8.46a</b>	PE 10% in 50 years Magnitude = 6.4	136
<b>8.46b</b>	PE 10% in 50 years Magnitude = 7.0	137
<b>8.46c</b>	PE 2% in 50 years Magnitude = 7.8	138
<b>8.46d</b>	PE 2% in 50 years Magnitude = 8.0	139
<b>8.47</b>	Soil Profile, CSR, CRR and Factor of Safety Against Liquefaction at the Wahite Ditch Bridge Site for M=6.4	141
<b>8.48</b>	Example Slope Stability Results for Wahite Ditch Bridge Site	144
<b>8.49</b>	Bridge General Elevation (New Wahite Ditch Bridge)	145
<b>8.50</b>	Mode 1, Period 0.2686 Seconds (New Wahite Ditch Bridge)	146
<b>8.51</b>	Mode 2, Period 0.2558 Seconds (New Wahite Ditch Bridge)	146
<b>8.52</b>	Mode 3, Period 0.0915 Seconds (New Wahite Ditch Bridge)	147
<b>8.53</b>	Mode 4, Period 0.0854 Seconds (New Wahite Ditch Bridge)	147
<b>8.54</b>	Mode 5, Period 0.0729 Seconds (New Wahite Ditch Bridge)	148
<b>8.55</b>	Bridge General Elevation (Old Wahite Ditch Bridge)	154
<b>8.56</b>	Mode 1, Period 0.5641 Seconds (Old Wahite Ditch Bridge)	155
<b>8.57</b>	Mode 2, Period 0.3518 Seconds (Old Wahite Ditch Bridge)	156
<b>8.58</b>	Mode 3, Period 0.1809 Seconds (Old Wahite Ditch Bridge)	156
<b>8.59</b>	Mode 4, Period 0.1229 Seconds (Old Wahite Ditch Bridge)	157
<b>8.60</b>	Mode 5, Period 0.1025 Seconds (Old Wahite Ditch Bridge)	157
<b>8.61</b>	Bridge General Elevation (Old Wahite Ditch Bridge)	162
<b>8.62</b>	Plan and Cross-Section of Old Wahite Ditch Bridge Abutment	
<b>8.62a</b>	Plan of Old Wahite Ditch Bridge Abutment	166
<b>8.62b</b>	Cross Section of Old Wahite Ditch Bridge Abutment	166

<b>8.63</b>	Time Histories of Sliding, Rocking and Total Permanent Displacement of the Old Wahite Ditch Bridge Abutment PE 10% in 50 Years, Magnitudes 6.4 and 7.0	167
<b>8.64</b>	Time Histories of Sliding, Rocking and Total Permanent Displacement of the Old Wahite Ditch Bridge Abutment PE 2% in 50 Years, Magnitudes 7.8 and 8.0	168

## LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
4.1 Example of Data Structure Input to Database	8
5.1 Peak Ground Acceleration (Herrmann, 2000) (Source; USGS 1996 Seismic Hazard Maps)	13
5.2 Magnitude and Distances for Selected Earthquakes, (Herrmann, 2000)	
5.2a St. Francis River Bridge Site	14
5.2b Wahite Ditch Bridge Site	14
5.3 Design Horizontal and Vertical Earthquake Accelerations	
5.3a St. Francis River Bridge Site	29
5.3b Wahite Ditch Bridge Site	29
8.1 Detail of Synthetic Ground Motion at the Rock Base of St. Francis River Bridge Site With Corresponding Maximum Peak Horizontal Ground Acceleration	
8.1a PE 10% in 50 Years	45
8.1b PE 2 % in 50 Years	45
8.2 Detail of Peak Ground Motion Used at the St. Francis River Bridge Site Rock Base, Ground Surface, Bridge Abutment and Pier	
8.2a PE 10% in 50 Years	65
8.2b PE 2 % in 50 Years	65
8.3 The Different Zones of Soil Liquefaction for Different Factors of Safety	66
8.4 Soil Properties used for the Slope Stability Analysis, St. Francis River Bridge Site	66
8.5 Slope Stability Results for the St. Francis River Bridge Site	69
8.6 Natural Periods and Their Corresponding Vibration Modes (New St. Francis River Bridge)	73
8.7 Elastic Moments Due to Transverse Acceleration	81
8.8 Elastic Moments Due to Longitudinal Acceleration	81
8.9 Elastic Moments Due to Vertical Acceleration	82
8.10 Summary of All Moment Demands	82
8.11 Summary of Moment C/D Ratios for Columns	84
8.12 Summary of All C/D Ratios for Structure	91
8.13 Summary of C/D Ratios for All Earthquakes on New St. Francis River Bridge	92
8.14 Comparison of Moments for Time History and Response Spectrum Analysis for Column 2 New St. Francis River Bridge	93
8.15 Comparison of Moments for Time History and Response Spectrum Analysis for Column 5 New St. Francis River Bridge	94
8.16 Comparison of Displacements for Time History and Response Spectrum Analysis for Maximum Abutment Displacement. New St. Francis River Bridge	95
8.17 Comparison of AASHTO Response Spectrum vs. Site Specific Response Spectrum (New St. Francis)	97
8.18 Natural Periods and their Corresponding Vibration Modes (Old St. Francis River Bridge)	99
8.19 Summary C/D for Various Components of the Old St. Francis River Bridge for all Earthquakes	108

<b>8.20</b>	Comparison of Moments for Time History and Response Spectrum Analysis for Column 2 Old St. Francis River Bridge	110
<b>8.21</b>	Comparison of Moments for Time History and Response Spectrum Analysis for Column 5 Old St. Francis River Bridge	111
<b>8.22</b>	Comparison of Displacements for Time History and Response Spectrum Analysis for Maximum Abutment Displacement. Old St. Francis River Bridge	112
<b>8.23</b>	Displacement at Top of Old St. Francis Bridge Abutment	114
<b>8.24</b>	Detail of Peak Ground Motion at Wahite Ditch Bridge Site, Rock Base, Soil Surface, Bridge Abutment and Pier	
<b>8.24a</b>	PE 10% in 50 Years	118
<b>8.24b</b>	PE 2 % in 50 Years	118
<b>8.25</b>	Detail of Peak Ground Motion Used at the Wahite Ditch Bridge Site Rock Base, Ground Surface, Bridge Abutment and Pier	
<b>8.25a.</b>	PE 10% in 50 years	124
<b>8.25b</b>	PE 2% in 50 years	124
<b>8.26</b>	The Different Zones of Soil Liquefaction for Different Factors of Safety	142
<b>8.27</b>	Soil Properties Used for the Slope Stability Analysis, Wahite Ditch Bridge Site Slope Stability Analysis	142
<b>8.28</b>	Slope Stability Results, Wahite Ditch Bridge Site	143
<b>8.29</b>	Natural Periods and Their Corresponding Vibration Modes (New Wahite Ditch Bridge)	145
<b>8.30</b>	Summary of all Earthquakes for New Wahite Ditch Bridge	152
<b>8.31</b>	Comparison of AASHTO Response Spectrum vs. Site Specific Response Spectrum (New Wahite)	153
<b>8.32</b>	Natural Periods and their Corresponding Vibration Modes (Old Wahite Ditch Bridge)	155
<b>8.33</b>	Summary of all Earthquakes for Old Wahite Ditch Bridge	163
<b>8.34</b>	Comparison of Column Moments for Old Wahite Ditch Bridge	164
<b>8.35</b>	Displacement at Top of Old Wahite Ditch Bridge Abutment	166

## **APPENDICES**

<b>D.1</b> Time Series for Study Sites	A184
<b>D.2</b> Magnitude and Distance for Design Earthquakes	A185
<b>D.3</b> St. Francis River 10 % Probability of Exceedance in 50 Years	A186
<b>D.4</b> St. Francis River 2 % Probability of Exceedance in 50 Years	A187
<b>D.5</b> Wahite Ditch 10% Probability of Exceedance in 50 Years	A188
<b>D.6</b> Wahite Ditch 2% Probability of Exceedance in 50 Years	A189

## APPENDICES

<b>A.</b>	<b>FIELD DATA</b>	A1
<b>A.1</b>	Symbols Used on Boring Information	A1
<b>A.2</b>	St. Francis River Bridge Site Test Pits	A3
<b>A.3</b>	St. Francis River Bridge Site Boring Logs	A6
<b>A.4</b>	St. Francis River Bridge Site Cone Penetrometer Logs	A13
<b>A.5</b>	Wahite Ditch Bridge Site Test Pits	A19
<b>A.6</b>	Wahite Ditch Bridge Site Boring Logs	A24
<b>A.7</b>	Wahite Ditch Bridge Site Cone Penetrometer Logs	A30
<b>B.</b>	<b>LABORATORY DATA</b>	A35
<b>B.1</b>	Cyclic Stress Test Results	A35
<b>B.2</b>	St. Francis River Bridge Site Laboratory Results	A37
<b>B.3</b>	Wahite Ditch Bridge Site Laboratory Results	A43
<b>C.</b>	<b>SOFTWARE DESCRIPTION</b>	A48
<b>C.1</b>	<i>SHAKE91</i> and <i>SHAKEDIT</i>	A48
<b>C.1.1</b>	<i>SHAKE91</i>	A48
<b>C.2.2</b>	<i>SHAKEDIT</i>	A48
<b>C.2</b>	Modified <i>DDRW2</i> Program	A48
<b>C.3</b>	<i>PCSTABLE5</i>	A49
<b>C.4</b>	<i>SAP2000</i>	49
<b>D.</b>	<b>DETAILS OF SYNTHETIC GROUND MOTION</b>	A51
<b>D.1</b>	Task	A51
<b>D.2</b>	Overview of problem	A51
<b>D.3</b>	Defining earthquakes	A51
<b>D.4</b>	Discussion	A56
<b>E.</b>	<b>DATABASE FOR EARTHQUAKE ANALYSIS</b>	A89
<b>F.</b>	<b>BRIDGE ABUTMENT AND PIER SUPPORTED ON A PILE GROUP</b>	A90
<b>F.1</b>	Stiffness and Damping Factors of Single Pile	A90
<b>F.1.1</b>	Vertical Stiffness ( $k_z$ ) and Damping Factors ( $c_z$ )	A90
<b>F.1.2</b>	Torsional Stiffness ( $k_\psi$ ) and Damping Factors ( $c_\psi$ )	A90
<b>F.1.3</b>	Sliding and Rocking Stiffness and Damping Factors	A93
<b>F.2</b>	Group Interaction Factor	A94
<b>F.3</b>	Group Stiffness and Damping Factors	A98
<b>F.3.1</b>	Vertical Group Stiffness ( $k_z^g$ ) and Damping Factors ( $c_z^g$ )	A98
<b>F.3.2</b>	Torsional Group Stiffness ( $k_\psi^g$ ) and Damping Factors ( $c_\psi^g$ )	A98
<b>F.3.3</b>	Sliding and Rocking and Cross Coupled group Stiffness and Damping Factors	A99
<b>F.4</b>	Strain-Displacement Relationships	A100
<b>F.5</b>	Solution Technique for Displacement Dependent $k$ 's and $c$ 's	A101
<b>F.6</b>	Equations of Motion	A104

<b>G. LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS</b>	<b>A161</b>
<b>H. MOMENT-ROTATION CURVE OF PILE FOUNDATIONS</b>	<b>A185</b>