



MISSOURI
S&T

CENTER FOR TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE AND SAFETY



Louisville Southern Indiana Ohio River Bridges Project, Kentucky East End Approach Tunnel

by

Neil Anderson



**NUTC
R202**

**A National University Transportation Center
at Missouri University of Science and Technology**

Disclaimer

The contents of this report reflect the views of the author(s), who are responsible for the facts and the accuracy of information presented herein. This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation, University Transportation Centers Program and the Center for Transportation Infrastructure and Safety NUTC program at the Missouri University of Science and Technology, in the interest of information exchange. The U.S. Government and Center for Transportation Infrastructure and Safety assumes no liability for the contents or use thereof.

Technical Report Documentation Page

| | | | |
|--|--|--|---|
| 1. Report No. NUTC R202 | 2. Government Accession No. | 3. Recipient's Catalog No. | |
| 4. Title and Subtitle Louisville Southern Indiana Ohio River Bridges Project, Kentucky East End Approach Tunnel | 5. Report Date July 2010 | | 6. Performing Organization Code |
| | 7. Author/s Neil Anderson | | 8. Performing Organization Report No. 00017730 |
| 9. Performing Organization Name and Address Center for Transportation Infrastructure and Safety/NUTC program Missouri University of Science and Technology 220 Engineering Research Lab Rolla, MO 65409 | | 10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS) | |
| | | 11. Contract or Grant No. DTRT06-G-0014 | |
| 12. Sponsoring Organization Name and Address U.S. Department of Transportation Research and Innovative Technology Administration 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE Washington, DC 20590 | | 13. Type of Report and Period Covered Final | |
| | | 14. Sponsoring Agency Code | |
| 15. Supplementary Notes | | | |
| 16. Abstract Missouri S&T proposes to acquire electrical resistivity and refraction tomography at the KDOT tunnel site, Louisville, Kentucky. These geophysical data will be processed, analyzed and interpreted with the objective of mapping and characterizing soil and bedrock at this construction site. The main project deliverables will be a suite of maps and geologic cross-sections depicting variations in soil thicknesses and lithology and rock quality. Maps showing the locations and orientations of solution-widened joints and other potential engineering hazards will also be presented. | | | |
| 17. Key Words Non-destructive imaging, non-invasive imaging, technology transfer, education, echo sounding, sub-bottom profiling | 18. Distribution Statement No restrictions. This document is available to the public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161. | | |
| 19. Security Classification (of this report) unclassified | 20. Security Classification (of this page) unclassified | 21. No. Of Pages 30 | 22. Price |

Louisville Southern Indiana Ohio River Bridges Project, Kentucky East End Approach Tunnel

Prepared by:

Neil Anderson

Department of Geological Sciences and Engineering

Missouri University of Science and Technology

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Six independent geophysical data sets were acquired along predetermined traverses at the Drumanard Estate Site, Louisville, Kentucky.

- conventional seismic refraction
- gravity
- self-potential
- ground-penetrating radar
- electrical resistivity
- multi-channel surface wave

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page # |
|--|---------------|
| TITLE PAGE | i |
| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | ii |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | iii |
| LIST OF FIGURES | iv |
| 1. SCOPE OF WORK | 1 |
| 2. SEISMIC DATA ACQUISITION AND PROCESSING | 3 |
| 3. SEISMIC DATA INTERPRETATION | 3 |
| 4. BRIEF OVERVIEW OF THE SEISMIC REFRACTION METHOD | 7 |
| 5. BRIEF OVERVIEW OF THE SEISMIC REFLECTION METHOD | 10 |
| 6. BRIEF OVERVIEW OF THE REFRACTION MICROTREMOR METHOD | 11 |
| 7. REFERENCES | 13 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | Page # |
|---|---------------|
| Figure 1: Base map showing locations of the seismic refraction, seismic reflection and refraction microtremor arrays. Seismic refraction and reflection data were acquired along two arrays on the Tennessee approach and along one array on the Missouri approach. | 1 |
| Figure 2: River access road, Missouri approach. | 2 |
| Figure 3: River access road, Tennessee approach. 2 | 2 |
| Figure 4: The shear-wave source consisted of a 20 pound sledge hammer source impacted against a metal plate placed at the base of a 3 ft deep excavated hole. | 4 |
| Figure 5: A weight drop source was used to generate compressional-wave energy. | 4 |
| Figure 6: Vertical shear-wave velocity profile for Missouri (western) approach. The upper 700 ft of the velocity curve was generated using refraction microtremor data and corroborating reflection and refraction seismic control. The lower 1300 ft of the velocity curve was generated following the extrapolation process described by Herrmann et al. (2005) and Kociu et al. (2003). | 5 |
| Figure 7: Vertical shear-wave velocity profile for Tennessee (eastern) approach. The upper 700 ft of the velocity curve was generated using refraction microtremor data and corroborating reflection and refraction seismic control. The lower 1300 ft of the velocity curve was generated following the extrapolation process described by Herrmann et al. (2005) and Kociu et al. (2003). | 6 |
| Figure 8: Seismic refraction: field set up and data recorder. | 8 |
| Figure 9: Example of a seismic refraction interpretation. | 9 |
| Figure 10: Example reflection data from a common subsurface “reflection point” acquired using variable shot to receiver offsets”. | 10 |
| Figure 11: Seismic reflection record. Reflections are characterized by hyperbolic travel time curves, the curvature of which can be used to calculate layer velocities. | 11 |
| Figure 12: Acquisition of passive and active refraction microtremor data. | 12 |
| Figure 13: Refraction microtremor surface wave dispersion data are transformed into vertical shear-wave velocity profiles. | 12 |

Louisville Southern Indiana Ohio River Bridges Project, Kentucky East End Approach Tunnel

1. SCOPE OF WORK

Six independent geophysical data sets were acquired along a total of nine traverses immediately adjacent to the Drumanard Estate Site, Louisville, Kentucky (Figures 1 and 2) as part of a pilot program designed to field-test subsurface imaging technologies. The following six geophysical methods were employed and evaluated:

- electrical resistivity
- multi-channel surface wave (MASW)
- conventional seismic refraction
- ground-penetrating radar (GPR)
- self-potential (SP)
- gravity




Figure 1: The Drumanard Estate Site is located at the intersection of Highway 841 and Route 42.

The primary objective of this pilot study was to determine which of these six imaging methods should be used in the planned follow-up detailed geophysical investigation of the Drumanard Estate Site (Figure 2).




Figure 2: Six independent geophysical data sets were acquired immediately adjacent to the Drumanard Estate Site (Residential Area and Intersection Area) as part of a pilot program designed to evaluate the utility of available geophysical technologies. The nine geophysical traverses are designated by the letters A-I, inclusive.

2. DATA ACQUISITION AND PROCESSING

Six independent geophysical data sets were acquired along nine flagged traverses (A-I, inclusive) immediately adjacent to the Drumanard Estate Site, Louisville, Kentucky (Figures 1 and 2) as part of a pilot program designed to evaluate the utility of geophysical imaging technologies. The following methods were field-tested and evaluated.

- electrical resistivity
- multi-channel surface wave (MASW)
- conventional seismic refraction
- ground-penetrating radar (GPR)
- self-potential (SP)
- gravity

The acquired geophysical data were processed, interpreted and evaluated. Evaluations of each of the field-tested technologies are presented sequentially in this section (Section 2) of the Report. The processed data themselves are presented in Appendix A.

Electrical Resistivity Data: The electrical resistivity data were acquired using a SuperSting R8 resistivity unit equipped with 40 electrodes (Figure 3). An electrode spacing of 10 feet was used to acquire all resistivity data (Figures A1–A9), with the exception of traverse I. For comparative purposes, two resistivity profiles were acquired along traverse I; Electrical resistivity profile I was acquired using a 10 ft electrode spacing, whereas electrical profile I-2 was acquired using a 5 ft electrode spacing (Figure 4).



Figure 3: Electrical resistivity data were acquired using a SuperSting R8 resistivity unit equipped with 40 electrodes.




Figure 4: Electrical Resistivity profile I was acquired using a 10 ft electrode spacing; electrical resistivity profile I-2 was acquired using a 5 ft electrode spacing.

The acquired resistivity data were processed using the commercially available software package RES2DINV.

Two example resistivity profiles are presented in Figure 4. Profile I was acquired using a 12 ft electrode spacing; profile I-2 was acquired along a segment of traverse I, using a 5 ft electrode spacing. The bedrock surface is readily mapped across both of these profiles (approximately coincident with 318 ohm-m contour). As illustrated in Figure 4, the I-2 profile (with 5 ft electrode spacing) provides markedly superior resolution.

Unfortunately, the bedrock surface is not as well-defined on many of the other resistivity profiles (see resistivity profile B; Figure 5). In our opinion, the complexity of resistivity profile B, and many of the resistivity images acquired at the test site, is indicative of the complexity of the bedrock surface, which appears to be intensely weathered and fractured, and in-filled with soil, in places.

Based on our evaluation of the acquired test data, we recommend that electrical resistivity data be acquired on the Drumanard Estate site. However, because of the apparent complexity of the bedrock

surface, we recommend that data be acquired using an electrode spacing of 5 ft and penetration depths on the order of 50 ft.





Figure 5: Electrical Resistivity profile B.

Multi-channel Surface Wave Data (MASW): MASW data were acquired using a

SuperSting R8 resistivity unit equipped with 40 electrodes (Figure 3). An electrode spacing of 10 feet was used to acquire all resistivity data (Figures A1–A9), with the exception of traverse I. For comparative purposes, two resistivity profiles were acquired along traverse I; Electrical resistivity profile I was acquired using a 10 ft electrode spacing, whereas electrical profile I-2 was acquired using a 5 ft electrode spacing (Figure 4).

different slopes) on the travel time curve (Figure 8). The slopes of the travel time segments can be used to calculate the acoustic velocity of each layer. The extrapolated intercept times can be used to estimate the depth of each lithologic contact.



(a) Instrument layout, refracted waves, and time-distance plot.



(b) Seismograph data recorder. (Geometrics Inc.)

Figure 8: Seismic Refraction: field set up and data recorder.

The seismic refraction method works well at shallow depths (<100 ft) and in areas where the acoustic velocities of successive layers increases significantly (such as soil to bedrock). The refraction technique does not work as well for depths greater than 100 ft, especially in “acoustically noisy” areas where the

subsurface is not characterized by layers with significant velocity increases. Under such conditions, it is very difficult to generate interpretable data because the refractions from deeper horizons are relatively low amplitude and are commonly masked by background noise.

Figure 9: Example of a seismic refraction interpretation.

Figure 11: Example seismic reflection record. Reflections are characterized by hyperbolic travel time curves, the curvature of which can be used to calculate layer velocities.

7. REFERENCES

FHWA, 2005, Application of Geophysical Methods to Highway Related Problems:

<http://www.cflhd.gov/agm/index.htm>

Herrmann, R. B., Julia-Casas, J., Rix, G., Akinci, A., Bodin, P., and Ammon, C.J., 2005, Shear-wave velocity estimates for deep soils of the Mississippi embayment from the inversion of teleseismic receiver functions: <http://www.eas.slu.edu/People/RBHerrmann/EMBAYRFTN.0113/>

Kociu, S., Luna, R., Anderson, N., Zheng, W and Hoffman, D., 2003, Non-linear soil response to strong ground motion: Determinations based on SASW velocity profiles: Geophysics 2003, <http://www.dot.state.fl.us/statematerialsoffice/geotechnical/conference/geophysics.htm>

UNR, 2005, Refraction Microtremor (ReMi) for Shear Velocity : The Most Efficient Shallow Shear-Velocity Estimates: <http://seismo.unr.edu/remi/>




Figure A1: Caption A: electrical resistivity profile A; Caption B: MASW profile A; Caption C: conventional seismic refraction profile A.

1. C:\onk\Data\GPR\Louisville\41\PROC DATA\FILE4___01T / traces: 9722 / samples: 487

LINE A














Figure A1 (continued):Caption D: GPR profile A; Caption B: SP profile A; Caption C: gravity profile A.





I:\C:\nonk\data\GPR\Louisville\41\PROC\DATA\FILE6_02T /traces: 9735 / samples: 485

LINE B





Unit Electrode Spacing = 10.00 ft.






Key to Lithology:




Soil

Limestone

I:\C:\nonkData\GPRN\Louisville\1\PROC\DATA\FILE33_04T / traces: 5201 / samples: 486

LINE C












Key to Lithology:

| | |
|------|-----------|
| Soil | Limestone |
|------|-----------|

I:\C:\ork\Data\GPR\Louisville\1\PROC DATA\FILE36_03T / traces: 5201 / samples: 487











Key to Lithology:



I: C:\onk\Data\GPR\Louisville\41\PROC DATA\FILE12_05T / traces: 5201 / samples: 487









Key to Lithology:

 Soil




 Limestone

Louisville Southern Indiana Ohio River Bridges Project, Kentucky East End Approach Tunnel







1. C:\nonk\data\GPR\Louisville\45\PROC DATA\FILE1__04T / traces: 8601 / samples: 495




Line H



Line H



Line H










Key to Lithology:



I: C:\onk\Data\GPR\Louisville\42\PROCDATA\FILE7_03T / traces: 9201 / samples: 486

LINE H





Key to Lithology:

 Soil  Limestone

