



CENTER FOR INFRASTRUCTURE ENGINEERING STUDIES

GEOMO 2004: Geotechnical Uncertainty and Reliability

By

Dr. Richard Stephenson

**University Transportation Center Program at
The University of Missouri-Rolla**

**UTC
ETT121**

Disclaimer

The contents of this report reflect the views of the author(s), who are responsible for the facts and the accuracy of information presented herein. This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation, University Transportation Centers Program and the Center for Infrastructure Engineering Studies UTC program at the University of Missouri - Rolla, in the interest of information exchange. The U.S. Government and Center for Infrastructure Engineering Studies assumes no liability for the contents or use thereof.

Technical Report Documentation Page

1. Report No. UTC ETT121	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.	
4. Title and Subtitle GEOMO 2004: Geotechnical Uncertainty and Reliability		5. Report Date December 2004	
		6. Performing Organization Code	
7. Author/s Dr. Richard Stephenson		8. Performing Organization Report No. 00001031	
9. Performing Organization Name and Address Center for Infrastructure Engineering Studies/UTC program University of Missouri - Rolla 223 Engineering Research Lab Rolla, MO 65409		10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)	
		11. Contract or Grant No. DTRS98-G-0021	
12. Sponsoring Organization Name and Address U.S. Department of Transportation Research and Special Programs Administration 400 7th Street, SW Washington, DC 20590-0001		13. Type of Report and Period Covered Final	
		14. Sponsoring Agency Code	
15. Supplementary Notes			
16. Abstract One day seminar given by one of the leading authorities on foundation design for transportation structures and Reliability Based Design 1. Establish UMR as a source of high-level continuing education. 2. Acquaint important and influential professionals with UMR programs. 3. Establish collaborative arrangements with high profile researchers from other universities. 4. Forge ties with MoDOT personnel. Acquaint regional engineering professionals with the programs and facilities available at UMR.			
17. Key Words Seminar, Technology transfer, foundations, piers, piles, reliability, Load and Resistance Factor Design	18. Distribution Statement No restrictions. This document is available to the public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161.		
19. Security Classification (of this report) Unclassified	20. Security Classification (of this page) Unclassified	21. No. Of Pages	22. Price

GeoMO 2004

Theme: Geotechnical Uncertainty and Reliability-Based Foundation Design

Date: May 21, 2004

Professional Development Hours: Individuals attending program will receive certificate documenting 6.0 hours of Professional Development.

Attendees: 64 professionals

Speaker: Dr. Fred Kulhawy-Professor, Cornell University

Reliability-based design (RBD) of foundations constitutes a potentially superior alternative to traditional deterministic design because:

- the design reliability can be maintained at a target value that is chosen rationally
- incompatibilities are minimized between structural and foundation design
- many of the complex relationships between uncertainties and risks can be assessed realistically, without resort to intuition and arbitrary decision-making processes

Current deterministic design is less specific than RBD and is more subject to considerable vagaries of individual use. Specifically, loading and geotechnical models rarely are specified in tandem, the specific way to use these models is rarely noted, guidance on selection of design parameters normally is lacking (i. e., should one use mean, upper range, lower range, etc.), and the selection of a factor of safety is not based on any degree of rigor or quantifiable function (tradition and experience tend to govern). The influence of varying design parameters and their ranges on design reliability is not addressed specifically, and subsequently the actual reliability level can vary substantially.

In this workshop, these issues are addressed in a fundamental, yet practical, manner. First, an overview is given of the development of geotechnical RBD for foundations in North America. Then necessary concepts and tools are presented, including basic issues of uncertainty, risk, judgment, and RBD formulation. The uncertainty and variability of design parameters are discussed in detail, including load modeling and geotechnical variability, which arises from geologic development, in-situ testing and sampling, correlations, and calculation models. All of these factors then are integrated into reliability-based equations for foundation design, with application examples. The resulting design equations have a "look and feel" that is familiar, but they actually are significant extensions and formalizations of current equations because they incorporate uncertainty explicitly. This approach puts the key design decisions in the hands of the design engineer, who is the only person who can truly assess the degree of confidence in the design parameters and their variability. This approach also gives designers direct means of assessing the relative value of lower variability in the geotechnical data.

For this workshop, comprehensive notes were used that facilitate technology transfer. These include organized copies of the course video materials and a bibliography of supplemental readings to provide further details.

